| STATE OF SO | UTH CAROLINA |) | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| (Caption of Cas | se) |) |) BEFORE THE) PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION) OF SOUTH CAROLINA | | | |
| IN RE: | |) | 01 50 | o I II Chinobii | • | |
| Plantation Utilit | e of Regulatory Staff ies, Inc.'s Collection a | nd Charging of | COVER SHEET | | | |
| Unauthorized an | d Unapproved Rates |) |) DOCKET | | | |
| | |) | NUMBER: | <u>2006</u> - <u>327</u> - | W/S | |
| | |) | | | | |
| | |) | | | | |
| C | | | | | | |
| (Please type or print | | | C Bar Numbo | 62805 | | |
| Submitted by: | Richard L. Whitt | | С ваг Numbe elephone: | 803-251-7442 | | |
| Address: | Austin & Rogers | | ax: | 803-252-3679 | | |
| | 508 Hampton Str | | ax. Other: | 803-256-4000 | | |
| | Columbia, South | <u> </u> | | t@alrlaw.com | | |
| NOTE: The cover s | heet and information co | ontained herein neither replaces n | | | f pleadings or other papers | |
| as required by law. | This form is required f | or use by the Public Service Com | mission of South | Carolina for the purp | oose of docketing and must | |
| be filled out comple | etely. | | | | | |
| Other: Rout | | | 2 | | | |
| INDUSTRY (C | Check one) | NATUI | RE OF ACTION | ON (Check all that | t apply) | |
| ☐ Electric | | Affidavit of Publication | ∠ Letter | | Request | |
| ☐ Electric/Gas | | Agreement | Memorano | lum | Request for Certificatio | |
| Electric/Teleco | ommunications | Answer | ☐ Motion | | Request for Investigation | |
| ☐ Electric/Water | | Appellate Review | Objection | | Resale Agreement | |
| ☐ Electric/Water/ | Telecom. | Application | Petition | | Resale Amendment | |
| ☐ Electric/Water/ | | Brief | Petition fo | r Reconsideration | Reservation Letter | |
| Gas | | Certification of Mailing | Petition fo | r Rulemaking | Response | |
| Railroad | | Comments | Petition for | Rule to Show Cause | Response to Discovery | |
| ⊠ Sewer | | Complaint | Petition to | Intervene | Return to Petition | |
| Telecommunic | ations | Consent Order | Petition to | Intervene Out of Time | Stipulation | |
| Transportation | | Discovery | ☐ Prefiled T | estimony | Subpoena | |
| | | ☐ Exhibit | Promotion | 1 | ☐ Tariff | |
| Water/Sewer | | Expedited Consideration | Proposed | | Other: | |
| | Matter | ☐ Interconnection Agreement | Protest | | (27) A (1) (2) | |
| | | ☐ Interconnection Amendment | 45 11 1000 PM 1000 PM | s Affidavit | | |
| Other: | | Late-Filed Exhibit "6" | Report | accontant 1.55 | | |
| | | Late-Flied Exhibit 0 | Report | | | |

Austin & Rogers, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

WILLIAM FREDERICK AUSTIN TIMOTHY F. ROGERS RAYMON E. LARK, JR. RICHARD L. WHITT

JEFFERSON D. GRIFFITH, III* EDWARD L. EUBANKS W. MICHAEL DUNCAN

* ALSO MEMBER NORTH CAROLINA BAR

+ ALSO MEMBER VIRGINIA BAR

COLUMBIA OFFICE:

CONGAREE BUILDING 508 HAMPTON STREET, SUITE 300 POST OFFICE BOX 11716

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201 TELEPHONE: (803) 256-4000 FACSIMILE: (803) 252-3679 WWW.ALRLAW.COM WINNSBORO OFFICE:

120 NORTH CONGRESS STREET POST OFFICE BOX 1061 WINNSBORO, SOUTH CAROLINA 29180

TELEPHONE: (803) 712-9900 FACSIMILE: (803) 712-9901

November 19, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Charles L. A. Terreni Chief Clerk and Administrator The Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29210

RE:

- Petition of Office of Regulatory Staff Regarding Wyboo Plantation Utilities, Inc.'s Collection and Charging of Unauthorized and Unapproved Rates
- Docket No. 2006-327-W/S
- Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mark S. Wrigley with Exhibits

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed for filing is the Direct Prefiled Testimony of Mark S. Wrigley with Exhibits on behalf of Wyboo Plantation Utilities, Inc. in the above referenced Docket. ORS' Counsel of Record is being served with this filing.

Respectfully Submitted,

/S/

Richard L. Whit

RLW/kjw

NOVEMBER 19, 2008 DOCKET NO. 2006-327 WS

| 1 2 | Q. | PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION. |
|----------------------|----|---|
| 3 4 | Α. | My name is Mark S. Wrigley, and my business address is 19 Broad |
| 5 | | Street, Sumter, South Carolina 29151. I am Chief Executive Officer of Wyboo |
| 6 | | Plantation Utilities, Inc. ("Wyboo"). |
| 7 | | |
| 8 9 10 | Q. | PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE |
| 11 | A. | I am the owner and President of Wrigley & Associates, Inc. Wrigley and |
| 12 | | Associates, Inc. which was formed by my father in the early seventies for the |
| 13 | | purpose of helping small communities, shopping centers, and trailer parks provide |
| 14 | | water and wastewater services to their customers. I began to work for Wrigley and |
| 15 | | Associates in the late seventies as half-owner and Vice President, and became the |
| 16 | | sole owner and President in 1995. I have 30 years experience in the water and |
| 17 | | wastewater field. I have over 20 years experience in the environmental treatment |
| 18 | | area and two years teaching experience in the same area. I hold Class "A" South |
| 19 | | Carolina certifications for both water and wastewater system operations. |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | Q. | WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY? |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | A. | My testimony responds generally to the allegations raised by the South Carolina |
| 24 | | Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") in this Docket. Specifically, my testimony |
| 25 | | responds to the testimony and Exhibits of Mr. Willie Morgan filed on behalf of |
| 26 | | ORS. |
| 27 | | |
| 28 29 30 31 | Q. | DID ANY OF WYBOO'S CUSTOMERS INTERVENE DURING THE INTERVENTION PERIOD ALLOWED BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA ("PSCSC") OR FILE A PROTEST? |
| 32 | A. | No. |

32 33

NOVEMBER 19, 2008 DOCKET NO. 2006-327 WS

| 1 2 | Q. | WHAT INFERENCE DO YOU THINK COULD BE FAIRLY DRAWN FROM THAT LACK OF INTERVENTION/PROTEST? |
|----------------------|----|--|
| 3 4 | Α. | I believe that, as of the date of this testimony, Wyboo's customers have (i) received |
| 5 | | a refund/credit of monies owed, (ii) have filed a waiver and relinquishment of any |
| 6 | | claim for the return of water tap fees paid to Wyboo or (iii) do not wish to make a |
| 7 | | claim to the PSCSC for the return of the water tap fees paid, because they received |
| 8 | | the water tap fee and the economic value thereof. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 11 12 13 | Q. | ISN'T IT TRUE THAT SOME CUSTOMERS HAVE NOT FILED A WAIVER/RELINQUISHMENT OF CLAIM FORM WITH YOUR COMPANY? IF THAT IS TRUE, HOW DOES WYBOO PLAN TO DEAL WITH THOSE POSSIBLE CLAIMS? |
| 15 | A. | Yes. However, Wyboo filed a Motion with the PSCSC on November 7, 2008, |
| 16 | | entitled "Motion for Approval of Water Tap Fee to Conform to Existing Rate, Nunc |
| 17 | | Pro Tunc", to deal with those claims. The Motion, if granted, would conform the |
| 18 | | previous water tap fees charged by Wyboo to the existing rates approved by the |
| 19 | | PSCSC in Order Number 2007-138, issued in Docket Number 2005-13-WS, issued |
| 20 | | on February 26, 2007. The water tap fee approved was: \$825 for 3/4 inch |
| 21 | | connection, \$965 for a 1 inch connection, and \$1,145 for a 2 inch connection. The |
| 22 | | water tap fees charged by Wyboo prior to Order, were considerably less than the |
| 23 | | minimum approved water tap fee of \$825. |
| 24 | | |
| 25 26 27 | Q. | YOU INDICATED THAT REFUNDS AND/OR CREDITS HAVE BEEN PROVIDED TO WYBOO'S CUSTOMERS. PLEASE EXPLAIN. |
| 28 | A. | Yes. See my Exhibits "MSW-1" and "MSW-2". |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | | |
| 31 | | |
| 32 | | |

33

NOVEMBER 19, 2008 DOCKET NO. 2006-327 WS

| 1 2 3 | Q. | HAVE ALL CUSTOMERS DEPICTED ON MR. MORGAN'S EXHIBIT "WJM-1" BEEN ADDRESSED BY WYBOO? |
|----------------------------------|----|--|
| 4 | A. | Yes. My Exhibit "MSW-1" addresses every customer, name and charge listed on |
| 5 | | Mr. Morgan's Exhibit "WJM-1". The corrective action taken by Wyboo is indicated |
| 6 | | in the right hand column, entitled, "Trace Refund/Credit". |
| 7 | | |
| 8 9 10 | Q. | PLEASE SUMMARIZE HOW WYBOO HAS ADDRESSED THE "CHARGE CATEGORIES" LISTED ON EXHIBIT "WJM-1". |
| 11 | Α. | Please see my Exhibit "MSW-2". Specifically, of the eleven charge categories listed |
| 12 | | on WJM-1, nine have been reduced to \$0.00, with Water Tap Fees remaining at |
| 13 | | \$26,300 and Sewer Tap Fee Total Overcharge remaining at \$750. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 16 17 | Q. | WHAT EXPLANATION DO YOU HAVE FOR WYBOO'S CHARGING UNAUTHORIZED RATES/CHARGES? |
| 18 | A. | I was informed by the previous owner of Wyboo that a water tap fee had been |
| 19 | | approved by the PSCSC. Other errors were made because of a lack of knowledge of |
| 20 | | how the PSCSC rules and regulations operated. No one was intentionally over |
| 21 | | charged. |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 | Q. | DO YOU THINK THAT IT IS FAIR FOR THE ORS TO ATTEMPT TO RECOVER ONE-HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE WATER TAP FEES INSTALLED ON YOUR SYSTEM DURING THE TIME FRAME INDICATED ON MR. MORGAN'S EXHIBIT "WJM-1"? PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ANSWER. |
| 28 | A. | I do not think it is fair for ORS to attempt to recover one-hundred percent of the |
| 29 | | water tap fees installed on Wyboo's system. Every water customer who was |
| 30 | | charged a water tap fee, received the economic value and use of the water tap and |
| 31 | | the "benefit of the bargain", in that the water tap fees charged prior to Order (\$300 |
| 32 | | or \$450) were materially less than the water tap fee approved by this Commission, |
| 33 | | in 2007, of at least \$825. Also, the water taps were installed by Wyboo upon the |
| 34 | | specific request of each water customer. |

NOVEMBER 19, 2008 DOCKET NO. 2006-327 WS

| 1 2 3 4 | Q. | PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION ON ANY REQUIREMENT THAT WYBOO REFUND ONE-HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE WATER TAP FEES CHARGED PRIOR TO ORDER. |
|----------------------|----|---|
| 5 | A. | Any requirement that Wyboo retroactively refund 100 percent of the water tap fees |
| 6 | | charged by Wyboo, would be (i) unfair and unjust (ii) be a substantial economic |
| 7 | | burden for Wyboo and would possibly bankrupt Wyboo's utility operations (iii) be |
| 8 | | an inappropriate "windfall" for each water customer (iv) severely hamper the |
| 9 | | utilities to provide adequate service to all of its utility customers and (v) be |
| 10 | | contrary to the mission statement of the Public Service Commission of South |
| 11 | | Carolina to balance the interests of the consumer and the utility. Any requirement |
| 12 | | by this Commission that Wyboos retroactively refund 100 percent of the water tap |
| 13 | | fees previously charged would not represent the required balance of interests. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 16 17 18 | Q. | WHAT EVIDENCE SHOULD THE PSCSC CONSIDER CONCERNING THE REFUND OF ONE-HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE WATER TAP FEES CHARGED PRIOR TO ORDER? |
| 19 | Α. | This Commission's recent approval, in 2007, of a water tap fee for Wyboo of at |
| 20 | | least \$825, as explained in more detail hereinabove, is the best evidence that the |
| 21 | | water tap fees charged by Wyboo prior to Order were (i) installed at the water |
| 22 | | customer's specific request and utilized by the water customer to receive water |
| 23 | | service from Wyboo, (ii) fair, (iii) appropriate, (iv) materially less than the water |
| 24 | | customer would otherwise have paid for a water tap fee (v) conforming with the |
| 25 | | Commission's presently approved water tap fee for Wyboo and (vi) an appropriate |
| 26 | | and reasonable basis upon which this Commission may approve a conforming water |
| 27 | | tap fee for Wyboo, nunc pro tunc. |

29 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

30 A. Yes it does.

28